

Knotted Solitons in a Charged Two-Condensate Bose System

Yishi Duan, Xinhui Zhang,* Yuxiao Liu, and Li Zhao

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Lanzhou University,

Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

Abstract

By making use of the decomposition of $U(1)$ gauge potential theory and the ϕ -mapping method, we propose that a charged two-condensate Bose system possesses vortex lines and two classes of knotted solitons. The topological charges of the vortex lines are characterized by the Hopf indices and the Brower degrees of ϕ -mapping, and the knotted solitons are described by the nontrivial Hopf invariant and the BF action, respectively.

PACS numbers: 74.20.De, 11.15.Ex, 03.75.Mn

Keywords: Two-Condensate Bose System, Vortex Lines.

*Electronic address: zhangxingh03@st.lzu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Multigap superconductivity has been discussed in the late 1950's for materials with a varying strength of electron-phonon interactions between different pieces of the Fermi surface [1, 2]. Since then, great attention has been directed towards understanding the detailed nature of superconductivity in this simple intermetallic compound. In recent years, *ab initio* calculations [3, 4, 5] have showed that MgB_2 has two weakly coupled gaps: $\Delta_\sigma \approx 7\text{mev}$ and $\Delta_\pi \approx 2.5\text{mev}$, residing on disconnected sheets of the Fermi surface formed by in-plane P_{xy} boron orbitals (the σ -bands) and out-of-plane P_z boron orbitals (the π -bands). The most striking consequences of the two gaps are the unusual anisotropic feature of MgB_2 under magnetic field, for example inequality between the penetration depth and the upper critical field anisotropy, and their variations with temperature [6]. Moreover, the experiment of scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) measurements on single crystal MgB_2 shows that the coherent length in the π band is approximately 50 nm [7] which is much larger than an estimate one that would obtain from a standard GL formula. All these spark renewed interest to two-gap superconductivity.

Principally, the two-gap superconductivity can be investigated in the frame of a charged two-condensate Bose system [8]. This system is described by a Ginzburg-Landau (GL) model with two flavors of Cooper pairs. Alternatively, it relates to a Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) functional with two charged condensates of tightly bound fermion pairs, or some other charged bosonic fields [9]. Such theoretical models have a wider range of applications, including interference between two Bose condensates [10], a multiband superconductor [11], two-component Bose-Einstein condensates [12] and superconducting gap structure of spin-triplet superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 [13].

In this paper we mainly focus attention on the charged two-condensate Bose system. Since topological properties have played an important roles in the condensed matter, we shall be particularly interested in those of the charged two-condensate Bose system. We know that in a single-condensate system, the best known topological object is the Abrikosov vortex [14]. But the advent of the charged two-condensate Bose system has opened a new possibility for us to have far more topological objects. Based on an extended version of Faddeev's $O(3)$ nonlinear σ model, Babaev *et. al.* argued that the knotted soliton, which is a stable, finite-length, closed vortex line, exists in the charged two-condensate Bose system. The

knotted solitons are much more complex and structurally complicated topological defects than Abrikosov vortices, and thus its realization should open an exceptionally wide range of possibilities of studies of various phenomena associated with them [15]. Generally, the numerical simulations method was adopted to research solitons [16], but to our knowledge there have been no real attempts to find an actual solution. Nevertheless the ϕ -mapping method [17] provides a much briefer method, by making use of which one needs not to solve the nonlinear equation but directly investigates the knotted soliton from the point of view of topology. In this paper, using the novel topological current method, we study the topological properties of the charged two-condensate Bose system in more detail and naturally obtain two classes of knotted solitons, which are described by a nontrivial Hopf invariant and the BF action, respectively.

II. TOPOLOGICAL VORTEX LINES IN THE TWO CHARGED SYSTEM

A system with two electromagnetically coupled, oppositely charged Bose condensates can be described by a two-flavor (denoted by $\alpha = 1, 2$) Ginzburg-Landau or Gross-Pitaevskii (GLGP) functional, whose free energy density is given by

$$f = \frac{1}{2m_1} |(\hbar\partial_\lambda + i\frac{2e}{c}A_\lambda)\Psi_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2m_2} |(\hbar\partial_\lambda - i\frac{2e}{c}A_\lambda)\Psi_2|^2 + V(\Psi_{1,2}) + \frac{\mathbf{B}^2}{8\pi} \quad (1)$$

where $V(\Psi_{1,2})$ is expressed as: $V(\Psi_{1,2}) = -b_\alpha|\Psi_\alpha|^2 + \frac{c_\alpha}{2}|\Psi_\alpha|^4$. The two condensates are characterized by the different effective masses m_α , the different coherence lengths $\xi_\alpha = \hbar/\sqrt{2m_\alpha b_\alpha}$ and the different concentrations $N_\alpha = \langle |\Psi_\alpha|^2 \rangle = b_\alpha/c_\alpha$. The properties of the corresponding model with a single charged Bose field are well known. In that case the field degrees of freedom are the massive modulus of the single complex order parameter and a vector field which gains a mass due to the Meissner-Higgs effect. What is much important in the present GLGP model is that the two charged fields are not independent but nontrivially coupled through the electromagnetic field. This kind of nontrivial coupling indicates that in this system there should be a nontrivial, hidden topology which, however, cannot be recognized obviously in the form of Eq. (1). In order to find out the topological structure and to investigate it conveniently, Ref. [9] introduce a set of new variables, involving a massive field ρ which is related to the densities of the Cooper pair and a three-component unit vector field

$\hat{n}$. Then the original GLGP free energy density Eq. (1) can be represented as

$$f = \frac{\hbar^2 \rho^2}{4} (\partial n)^2 + \hbar^2 (\partial \rho)^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 c^2}{512 \pi e^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\hbar} [\partial_\mu C_\nu - \partial_\nu C_\mu] \right. \\ \left. - \hat{n} \cdot \partial_\mu \hat{n} \times \partial_\nu \hat{n} \right\}^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{16} \vec{C}^2 + V. \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{C} = \vec{J}/e\rho^2$ and $\vec{J}$ stands for the standard supercurrent. Now we can see that there allows an exact equivalence between the two-flavor GLGP model and the nonlinear $O(3)$ σ model [16] which is much more important to describe the topological structure in high energy physics. In this paper, based on the decomposition of $U(1)$ gauge potential theory and the ϕ -mapping method, we discuss the counterpart in condensed matter and show that there are topological excitations in the form of stable knotted closed vortices in the charged two-condensate Bose system. As shown in Eq. (2), we know that the magnetic field of the system can be divided into two parts: One is the contribution of field C_μ , we learn that this part is introduced by the supercurrent density and can only present us with the topological defects as what in a single-condensate system; The other part, the contribution $\hat{n} \cdot \partial_\mu \hat{n} \times \partial_\nu \hat{n}$ to the magnetic field term in Eq. (2), is a fundamentally topological property of the two-condensate system which has no counterpart in the single-condensate system. Here we emphasize that there allow another nontrivial topological configurations, which are originated from the interaction between the field C_μ and the contribution of the term $\hat{n} \cdot \partial_\mu \hat{n} \times \partial_\nu \hat{n}$. Thus we will investigate these terms in detail.

It is easy to prove that this term $\hat{n} \cdot \partial_\mu \hat{n} \times \partial_\nu \hat{n}$ can be reexpressed in an Abelian field tensor form [19]: $\hat{n} \cdot \partial_\mu \hat{n} \times \partial_\nu \hat{n} = \partial_\mu b_\nu - \partial_\nu b_\mu$. Here b_μ is the Wu-Yang potential [20]

$$b_\mu = \hat{e}_1 \cdot \partial_\mu \hat{e}_2, \quad (3)$$

in which $\hat{e}_1$ and $\hat{e}_2$ are two perpendicular unit vectors normal to $\hat{n}$, and $(\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, \hat{n})$ forms an orthogonal frame: $\hat{n} = \hat{e}_1 \times \hat{e}_2$, $\hat{e}_1 \cdot \hat{e}_2 = 0$. Now, consider a two-component vector field $\vec{\phi} = (\phi^1, \phi^2)$ residing in the plane formed by $\hat{e}_1$ and $\hat{e}_2$: $e_1^a = \frac{\phi^a}{\|\phi\|}$, $e_2^a = \epsilon_{ab} \frac{\phi^b}{\|\phi\|}$, ($\|\phi\|^2 = \phi^a \phi^a$; $a, b = 1, 2$). It can be proved that the relations for $\hat{e}_1$ and $\hat{e}_2$ satisfy above restriction. Obviously the zero points of $\vec{\phi} = (\phi^1, \phi^2)$ are the singular points of $\hat{e}_1$ and $\hat{e}_2$. We will find out that the topological defects are just originated from these points. Using the $\vec{\phi}$ field, the Wu-Yang potential Eq. (3) can be expressed as $b_\mu = \epsilon_{ab} \frac{\phi^a}{\|\phi\|} \partial_\mu \frac{\phi^b}{\|\phi\|}$. Comparing with the expression of the $U(1)$ gauge potential decomposition [21], we learn that b_μ satisfies the $U(1)$

gauge transformation. The GLGP free energy density Eq. (2) can be reexpressed as

$$f = \frac{\hbar^2 \rho^2}{4} (\partial n)^2 + \hbar^2 (\partial \rho)^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 c^2}{512 \pi e^2} \times \left\{ \frac{1}{\hbar} [\partial_\mu C_\nu - \partial_\nu C_\mu] - H_{\mu\nu} \right\}^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{16} \vec{C}^2 + V, \quad (4)$$

where $H_{\mu\nu}$ is a two order tensor and can be expressed as: $H_{\mu\nu} = 2\epsilon_{ab}\partial_\mu(\phi^a/\|\phi\|)\partial_\nu(\phi^b/\|\phi\|)$, which describes the magnetic field that becomes induced in the system due to a nontrivial electromagnetic interaction between the two condensates. Indeed, it is exactly due to the presence of this term that the two-condensate system acquires properties which are qualitatively very different from those of a single-condensate system.

Since this tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. (4) plays an important role in the topological feature of the two-condensate system, here we will use the ϕ -mapping method to research the properties hidden in this tensor. To do so, we introduce a topological tensor current $K^{\mu\nu}$ [21], which is denoted by

$$K^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} H_{\lambda\rho} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \epsilon_{ab} \partial_\lambda \frac{\phi^a}{\|\phi\|} \partial_\rho \frac{\phi^b}{\|\phi\|}. \quad (5)$$

Using $\partial_\mu(\phi^a/\|\phi\|) = (\partial_\mu \phi^a)/\|\phi\| + \phi^a \partial_\mu(1/\|\phi\|)$ and the Green function relation in ϕ space: $\partial_a \partial_a \ln \|\phi\| = 2\pi \delta^2(\vec{\phi})$ ($\partial_a = \partial/\partial \phi^a$), one can prove that $K^{\mu\nu} = \delta^2(\vec{\phi}) D^{\mu\nu}(\phi/x)$, where $D^{\mu\nu}(\phi/x) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \epsilon_{ab} \partial_\lambda \phi^a \partial_\rho \phi^b$ is the Jacobian between the ϕ space and the Cartesian coordinates. Denoting the spacial components of $K^{\mu\nu}$ by K^i , we obtain

$$K^i = K^{0i} = \delta^2(\vec{\phi}) D^i\left(\frac{\phi}{x}\right) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad (6)$$

where $D^i(\frac{\phi}{x}) = D^{0i}(\frac{\phi}{x})$. The expression Eq. (6) provides an important conclusion: $K^i = 0$, if and only if $\vec{\phi} \neq 0$; $K^i \neq 0$, if and only if $\vec{\phi} = 0$. So it is necessary to study the zero points of $\vec{\phi}$ to determine the nonzero solutions of K^i . The implicit function theory [22] shows that under the regular condition $D^i(\phi/x) \neq 0$, the general solutions of

$$\phi^1(t, x^1, x^2, x^3) = 0, \quad \phi^2(t, x^1, x^2, x^3) = 0 \quad (7)$$

can be expressed as $\vec{x} = \vec{x}_k(s, t)$, which represent the world surfaces of N moving isolated singular strings L_k with string parameter s ($k = 1, 2, \dots, N$). This indicates that in the charged two-condensate Bose system, there are vortex lines located at the zero points of the $\vec{\phi}$ field.

Then question are raised naturally: what is the topological charge of the vortex lines and how to obtain the inner topological structure of K^i . Now we will investigate the topological

charges of the vortex lines and their quantization. In δ -function theory [23], one can prove that in three-dimensional space

$$\delta^2(\vec{\phi}) = \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k \int_{L_k} \frac{\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_k(s))}{|D(\frac{\phi}{u})|_{\Sigma_k}} ds, \quad (8)$$

where $D(\phi/u)_{\Sigma_k} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{mn}(\partial\phi^m/\partial u^\mu)(\partial\phi^n/\partial u^\nu)$ and Σ_k is the k th planar element transverse to L_k with local coordinates (u^1, u^2) . The positive integer β_k is the Hopf index of the ϕ -mapping, which means that when $\vec{x}$ covers the neighborhood of the zero point $\vec{x}_k(s)$ once, the vector field $\vec{\phi}$ covers the corresponding region in ϕ space β_k times. Meanwhile taking notice of the definition of the Jacobian, the direction vector of L_k is given by

$$\left. \frac{dx^i}{ds} \right|_{\vec{x}_k} = \left. \frac{D^i(\phi/x)}{D(\phi/u)} \right|_{\vec{x}_k}. \quad (9)$$

Then from Eqs. (8) and (9), we obtain the inner structure of K^i

$$K^i = \delta^2(\vec{\phi}) D^i(\frac{\phi}{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k \eta_k \int_{L_k} \frac{dx^i}{ds} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_k(s)) ds, \quad (10)$$

in which η_k is the Brouwer degree of the ϕ -mapping, with $\eta_k = \text{sgn} D(\phi/u)_{\Sigma_k} = \pm 1$. Hence the topological charge of the vortex line L_k is $Q_k = \int_{\Sigma_k} K^i d\sigma_i = W_k$, where $W_k = \beta_k \eta_k$ is the winding number of $\vec{\phi}$ around L_k . Then we come to the conclusion: under the regular condition $D^i(\phi/x) \neq 0$ there exist vortex lines in the charged two-condensate Bose system, whose topological charges are just the winding numbers of the ϕ -mapping.

III. TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF KNOTTED SOLITONS

In this section, we research stationary knots corresponding to the vortex lines in this two-condensate system. When going to a constant vector $\hat{n}(x) \rightarrow \hat{n}_0$, $\hat{n}(x)$ naturally defines a mapping: $R_3 \sim S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ [16]. Such mappings fall into nontrivial homotopy classes $\pi_3(S^2) \approx \mathbb{Z}$ and can be characterized by a topological invariant called the Hopf invariant [24, 25], an integral formula which counts the number of times the two-sphere S^2 is covered by the three-sphere S^3 . From the above discussion, the Hopf invariant can be given in terms of b_μ and $H_{\mu\nu}$ by [16]

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int \epsilon^{ijk} b_i H_{jk} d^3x, \quad (11)$$

where b_i and H_{jk} are the spacial components of b_μ and $H_{\mu\nu}$, respectively. It can be seen that when these N vortex lines are closed curves, i.e., a family of knots γ_k ($k = 1, \dots, N$), considering Eq. (5) and substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (11), we obtain

$$I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N W_k \oint_{\gamma_k} b_i dx^i. \quad (12)$$

This is a very important expression. Consider the $U(1)$ gauge transformation of b_μ : $b'_\mu = b_\mu + \partial_i \theta$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is a phase factor denoting the $U(1)$ transformation. It is seen that the term $\partial_i \theta$ contributes nothing to the integral I , hence the expression (12) is invariant under the $U(1)$ gauge transformation. Meanwhile we know that I is independent of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Therefore one can conclude that I is a topological invariant for knotted vortex lines in the charged two-condensate Bose system. In the presence of the vector field $\hat{n}$, the two-condensate system allows this kind of knots labelled by the Hopf invariant.

Simultaneously, it is due to the interaction between the massive field C_μ and the tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ that a new nontrivially topological configuration will be produced in the two-condensate system. One can see that $C = C_\mu dx^\mu$ is a one-form, which presents us with the properties as what in a single-condensate system, and the two-form $H = \frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$ describes the magnetic field that becomes induced to the interaction between the two condensate. In order to explore the new topological configuration according to the interaction between the one-form C and the two-form H , we introduce the classical Abelian BF theory [26, 27]. In this three-dimensional charged two-condensate system, the abelian BF theory has the following action

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M C \wedge H = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_M \epsilon^{ijk} C_i H_{jk} dx^3, \quad (13)$$

where C_i and H_{jk} are the spacial components of C_μ and $H_{\mu\nu}$. Considering the relation $K^i = \frac{1}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ijk} H_{jk}$, one knows that there also allow the vortex lines. When the vortex lines are a family of knots, substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (13), one can obtain

$$S = \sum_{f=1}^N W_f \oint_{\gamma_f} C_i dx^i. \quad (14)$$

We learn that C_μ satisfies the $U(1)$ gauge transformation: $C'_\mu = C_\mu + \partial_\mu \varphi$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ is a phase factor denoting the $U(1)$ transformation. Furthermore the term $\partial_\mu \varphi$ contributes nothing to the integral S , hence the expression (14) is invariant under the $U(1)$ gauge transformation. Meanwhile one knows that S is independent of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Therefore we can conclude

that the BF action S is also a topological invariant for knotted vortex lines in the charged two-condensate Bose system.

It is well known that many important topological numbers are related to a knot family such as the self-linking number and Gauss linking number. Next we will discuss the relationship between the actions Eqs. (12,14) and the topological numbers of the knot family. First b_i and C_i should be expressed in terms of the vector field which carries the geometric information of the knot family. Define the Gauss mapping $\vec{m} : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^2$ by $\vec{m}(\vec{y}, \vec{x}) = \frac{\vec{y} - \vec{x}}{||\vec{y} - \vec{x}||}$, where $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{y}$ are two points, respectively, on the knots γ_k and γ_l . Let a two-dimensional unit vector $\vec{u} = \vec{u}(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ satisfy $u^a u^a = 1$, where $a = 1, 2$ and $\vec{u} \perp \vec{m}$. Then b_i can be decomposed in terms of this two-dimensional vector u^a : $b_i = \epsilon^{ab} u^a \partial_i u^b - \partial_i \alpha$, in which α is a phase factor. it is due to the term $\partial_i \alpha$ contributes nothing to the integral Eq. (12) that b_i can be written as $b_i = \epsilon^{ab} u^a \partial_i u^b$. And noticing the symmetry between the point $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{y}$, Eq. (12) can be reformulated as

$$I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N W_k W_l \times \oint_{\gamma_k} \oint_{\gamma_l} \epsilon_{ab} \partial_i u^a(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \partial_j u^b(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) dx^i \wedge dy^j. \quad (15)$$

Further, we express the abelian BF action S as a new form including a unit vector, which also carries geometric information. Introduce another Gauss mapping $\vec{d} : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^2$ and a two-dimensional unit vector field $\vec{v}(\vec{x}', \vec{y}')$, where $\vec{x}', \vec{y}'$ are different points on γ_f, γ_g , and let $\vec{v}, \vec{d}$ satisfy $\vec{v} \perp \vec{d}$. Then using the $U(1)$ gauge potential decomposition theory, one can obtain that C_i is decomposed in terms of the vector $\vec{v}$: $C_i = \epsilon^{ab} v^a \partial_i v^b + \partial_i \beta$, where β is a phase factor and $\partial_i \beta$ has no contribution to the integral Eq. (14). Substituting the expression of the decomposition into the BF action Eq. (14) and taking notice of the symmetry between the point $\vec{x}'$ and $\vec{y}'$, we get

$$S = \sum_{f=1}^N \sum_{g=1}^N W_f W_g \times \oint_{\gamma_f} \oint_{\gamma_g} \epsilon_{ab} \partial_i v^a(\vec{x}', \vec{y}') \partial_j v^b(\vec{x}', \vec{y}') dx'^i \wedge dy'^j. \quad (16)$$

In fact there exist three cases for Hopf invariant Eq. (15) and the BF action Eq. (16), respectively. (i) The same knots but the different points, i.e., $k = l, \vec{x} \neq \vec{y}$ or $f = g, \vec{x}' \neq \vec{y}'$; (ii) The same knots and the same points, i.e., $k = l, \vec{x} = \vec{y}$ or $f = g, \vec{x}' = \vec{y}'$; (iii) The

different knots, i.e., $k \neq l$ or $f \neq g$. For the first case, when γ_k, γ_l are the same knots, γ_f, γ_g are the same knots, but $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{y}$, $\vec{x}'$ and $\vec{y}'$ are different points, taking account of the relations $\epsilon_{ab}\partial_i u^a \partial_j u^b = \frac{1}{2}\vec{m} \cdot (\partial_i \vec{m} \times \partial_j \vec{m})$ and $\epsilon_{ab}\partial_i v^a \partial_j v^b = \frac{1}{2}\vec{d} \cdot (\partial_i \vec{d} \times \partial_j \vec{d})$, one can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\gamma_k} \oint_{\gamma_k} \epsilon_{ab} \partial_i u^a \partial_j u^b dx^i \wedge dy^j &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\gamma_k} \oint_{\gamma_k} \vec{m}^*(dS) \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\gamma_f} \oint_{\gamma_f} \epsilon_{ab} \partial_i v^a \partial_j v^b dx'^i \wedge dy'^j &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\gamma_f} \oint_{\gamma_f} \vec{d}^*(dS) \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{m}^*(dS) = \vec{m} \cdot (d\vec{m} \times d\vec{m}) = 2\epsilon_{ab} du^a \wedge du^b$ is the pull-back of S^2 surface element. The expressions is just related to the writhing number $Wr(\gamma_k)$ of γ_k : $W(\gamma_k) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\gamma_k} \oint_{\gamma_k} \vec{m}^*(dS)$. For the second case, γ_k, γ_l are the same knots, γ_f, γ_g are the same, $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{y}$ are just the same points, $\vec{x}'$ and $\vec{y}'$ are also the same. Let $\vec{T}$ ($\vec{T}'$) be the unit tangent vector of knot γ_k (γ_f) at $\vec{x}$ ($\vec{x}'$) and let $\vec{V}$ ($\vec{V}'$) satisfy $u^a = \epsilon^{ab} V^b$ ($v^a = \epsilon^{ab} V'^b$), where $a, b = 1, 2$; $\vec{V} \perp \vec{T}$, $\vec{u} = \vec{T} \times \vec{V}$ ($\vec{V}' \perp \vec{T}'$, $\vec{v} = \vec{T}' \times \vec{V}'$), then it is easy to prove

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\gamma_k} \epsilon_{ab} u^a \partial_i u^b dx^i &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\gamma_k} (\vec{T} \times \vec{V}) \cdot d\vec{V} = Tw(\gamma_k), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\gamma_f} \epsilon_{ab} v^a \partial_i v^b dx'^i &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\gamma_f} (\vec{T}' \times \vec{V}') \cdot d\vec{V}' = Tw(\gamma_f), \end{aligned}$$

where $Tw(\gamma_k)$ and $Tw(\gamma_f)$ are the twisting numbers of the knot γ_k and γ_f . According to the Calugareanu's formula [28, 29]: $SL(\gamma_k) = Wr(\gamma_k) + Tw(\gamma_k)$, where $SL(\gamma_k)$ is just the self-linking number of γ_k , one can arrive at the result that when γ_k and γ_l , γ_f and γ_g are the same knots i.e. $k = l, f = g$, the actions Eqs. (15) and (16) are related to the self-linking of knots. For the third case, when γ_k and γ_l , γ_f and γ_g are different knots, considering the relations between $\vec{u}$ and $\vec{m}$, $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{d}$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\gamma_k} \oint_{\gamma_l} \epsilon_{ab} \partial_i u^a \partial_j u^b dx^i \wedge dy^j &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\gamma_k} \oint_{\gamma_l} \vec{m}^*(dS) \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\gamma_f} \oint_{\gamma_g} \epsilon_{ab} \partial_i v^a \partial_j v^b dx'^i \wedge dy'^j &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\gamma_f} \oint_{\gamma_g} \vec{d}^*(dS), \end{aligned}$$

in which $\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\gamma_k} \oint_{\gamma_l} \vec{m}^*(dS) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \epsilon^{ijk} \oint_{\gamma_k} dx^i \oint_{\gamma_l} dy^j \frac{(x^k - y^k)}{\|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|^3} = L(\gamma_k, \gamma_l)$ is the Gauss linking number between γ_k and γ_l , $\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\gamma_f} \oint_{\gamma_g} \vec{d}^*(dS) = L(\gamma_f, \gamma_g)$ is the Gauss linking number between γ_f and γ_g .

γ_g . Therefore, taking account of all these three cases, we come to the important conclusions

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N W_k W_l L(\gamma_k, \gamma_l) + \sum_{k=1}^N W_k^2 SL(\gamma_k), \\ S &= 2\pi \left[\sum_{f=1}^N \sum_{g=1}^N W_f W_g L(\gamma_f, \gamma_g) + \sum_{f=1}^N W_f^2 SL(\gamma_f) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which describe the twisted knot topology of the physical magnetic flux. Since the self-linking number and the Gauss linking number are both the invariant characteristic numbers of the knotted closed curves in topology, I and S are also important topological invariants required to describe the knotted vortex lines in the charged two-condensate system. Notice that they can be understood as the linking of two quantized magnetic fluxes of the knotted vortex lines. Obviously two flux rings linked together can not be separated by any continuous deformation of the field configuration. This provides the topological stability of the knots. Since the energy of a vortex line is proportional to its length, for a finite energy the length must be finite [16]. Then we obtain a stable, finite length closed vortex lines in the charged two-condensate Bose system, namely, knotted solitons. This is just the significance of the introduction and research of topological invariants I and S .

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, based on the decomposition of $U(1)$ gauge potential theory and the ϕ -mapping topological current method, we point out there allow vortex lines and two classes of knotted solitons in the charged two-condensate Bose system. Under the regular condition $D^i(\phi/x) \neq 0$, vortex lines are originated from the zero points of the $\vec{\phi}$ field and the topological charges of vortex lines are characterized by the winding numbers of the ϕ -mapping. Furthermore, we discuss the stationary knots and find out two classes of knotted solitons—stable, finite length, closed vortex lines in the two-condensate system. One knotted soliton is described by the Hopf invariant and the other is characterized by the BF action. Finally, the relations between these actions and the topological numbers of a family knot are investigated in the system. It is revealed that these actions are topological invariants for knotted solitons and can be reformulated into the self-linking numbers and the Gauss linking numbers.

At last, it should be pointed out that in the present paper we treat the vortex lines as

mathematical lines, i.e., the width of a line is zero. This description is obtained in the approximation that the radius of curvatures of a line is much larger than the width of the line [30].

Acknowledgments

It is a great pleasure to thank Dr. P. M. Zhang for numerous fruitful discussions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 10475034.

-
- [1] H. Suhl, B.T. Matthias, and L.R. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **3**, 552 (1959).
 - [2] V.A. Moskalenko, Fiz. Met. Metalloved. **8**, 503 (1959).
 - [3] A.Y. Liu, I.I. Mazin, and J. Kortus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 087005 (2001).
 - [4] H.J. Choi, D. Roundy, H. Sun, M.L. Cohen, and S.G. Louie, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 020513 (2002).
 - [5] A. Floris, G. Profeta, N.N. Lathiotakis, M. Lüders, M.A.L. Marques, C. Franchini, E.K.U. Gross, A. Continenza, and S. Massidda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 037004 (2005).
 - [6] V.H. Dao, and M.E. Zhitomirsky, Eur. Phys. J. B **44**, 183 (2005).
 - [7] M.R. Eskildsen, M. Kugler, S. Tanaka, J. Jun, S.M. Kazakov, J. Karpinski, and Ø. Fischer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 187003 (2002).
 - [8] Y. Jiang, Phys. Rev. B, **70**, 012501 (2004).
 - [9] E. Babaev, L.D. Faddeev, and A.J. Niemi, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 100512 (2002).
 - [10] M.R. Andrews, C.G. Townsend, H.J. Miesner, D.S. Durfee, D.M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Science **275**, 637 (1997).
 - [11] F. Bouquet, R.A. Fisher, N.E. Phillips, D.G. Hinks, and J.D. Jorgensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 047001 (2001); P. Szabó, P. Samuely, J. Kačmarčík, T. Klein, J. Marcus, D. Fruchart, S. Miraglia, C. Marcenat, and A.G. M. Jansen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 137005 (2001).
 - [12] D.S. Hall, M.R. Matthews, C.E. Wieman, and E.A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1543 (1998).
 - [13] K. Izawa, H. Takahashi, H. Yamaguchi, Y. Matsuda, M. Suzuki, T. Sasaki, T. Fukase, Y. Yoshida, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2653 (2001).

- [14] Y.M. Cho, and P.M. Zhang, Non-Abrikosov Vortex and Topological Knot in Two-gap Superconductor, cond-mat/0601347.
- [15] E. Babaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 177002 (2002).
- [16] L. Faddeev, and A.J. Niemi, Nature (London) **387**, 58 (1997); Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1624 (1999).
- [17] Y.S. Duan, S. Li, and G.H. Yang, Nucl. Phys. B **514**, 705 (1998); Y. Jiang and Y.S. Duan, J. Math. Phys. **24**, 6463 (2000).
- [18] A.J. Leggett, Prog. Theor. Phys. **36**, 901 (1996); E. Babaev, Nucl. Phys. B **686**, 397 (2004).
- [19] F. Wilczek, and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 2250 (1983).
- [20] T.T. Wu, and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 3845 (1975); **14**, 437 (1975).
- [21] Y.S. Duan, X. Liu, and P.M. Zhang, J. Phys. A **36**, 563 (2003); Y.S. Duan, H. Zhang, and S. Li, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 125 (1998).
- [22] É. Goursat, *A Course in Mathematical Analysis*, translated by E.R. Hedrick (Dover, New York, 1904), Vol. I.
- [23] J.A. Schouten, *Tensor Analysis for Physicists* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1951).
- [24] L.H. Kauffman, *Knots and Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1993).
- [25] M. Atiyah, *The Geometry and Physics of Knots* (Cambridge Univ. press, 1990).
- [26] A. Borowiec and M. Francaviglia, AIP Conf. Proc. **751**, 165 (2005); A. Momen, Physics Letters B **394**, 269 (1997).
- [27] N. Ikeda, JHEP **0011**, 009 (2000); JHEP **0107**, 037 (2001).
- [28] D. Rolfsen, *Knots and Links* (Publish or Perish, Berkeley, CA, 1976).
- [29] W. Pohl, J. Math. Mech. **17**, 975 (1968).
- [30] H.B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, Nucl. Phys. B **61**, 45 (1973).